

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,490	12/20/2004	Erich Litwing	016906-0361	5493	
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 07/23/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER			
SUITE 500		CORRIGAN, JOSEPH JAMES			
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	11, DC 20007		3744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/518,490	LITWING ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JOSEPH CORRIGAN	3744		
	10/518,490 Examiner	10/518,490 LITWING ET AL. Examiner Art Unit	

	JOSEPH CORRIGAN	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL		era di della essa assassi	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with ANNIAN CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS			
 \(\)\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) \(\)\) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) \(\)\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowing) (c) \(\)\) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) \(\)\) They present additional claims without canceling a contraction. 	sideration and/or search (see NO v); er form for appeal by materially red	TE below); ducing or simplifying t	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. \(\subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) }\) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) rejected: \(\frac{19-25}{12-25} \) Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Cheryl J. Tyler/	/Joseph Corrigan/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744	Examiner Art Unit 3744		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's newly amended claim 19 adds the limitations "....a plurality of external wall portions of the insertion part abutting and adjacent to external wall portions of the remainder of the housing form an exterior surface of the housing along with the abutting and adjacent external wall portions of the remainder of the housing" to distinguish itself from prior art; however, these are new limitations that will require further consideration and/or search.

JJC